

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Public Services—APWAS-Pay and Accounts Office, Visakhapatnam-certain irregularities in issuance of token on receipt of bills and aftermath process in payment- certain irregularities committed-punishment of recovery of an amount of Rs. 1,000/- from the Death-cum-Retirement Gratuity of Sri D. Sanjeева Rao, Pay and Accounts Officer (Retired)—Orders – Issued.

FINANCE (WA.II) DEPARTMENT

G.O. Rt No. 2225

Dated:01-06-2011.

Read the following:-

1. General Administration (V&E) Department Vigilance Report No. 3 (1014/V&E/E1/06) dated 16.01.2007
2. G.O. Rt No. 1195, Finance (WA.II) Department dated 26.03.2007.
3. From the Director of Works Accounts Lr. No. DWA/ Hyd/Sn.I/2007-08/120 dated 23.05.2007.
4. G.O. Rt No. 4118, Finance (WA.II) Department, dated 20.10.2008.
5. Inquiry Report received through the Director of Works Accounts Lr. No. DWA/Hyd/Sn.I/EC.6/2009-10/115 dated 16.09.2009.
6. From the Director of Works Accounts Lr. No. DWA/ Hyd/Sn.I/EC.6/2009-10/156 dated 26.10.2009.
7. Finance Department Memo. NO.3039-C/23/WA.II/2007 dated 01.12.2010.
8. From Director of Works Accounts Lr. No. DWA/ Hyd/Sn.I/EC.6/ 2010-11/291 dated 10.12.2010.
9. Finance Department Memo No. 3039-C/23/WA.II/2007, dated: 26.02.2011
10. From the Director of Works Accounts Lr. No. DWA/ Hyd/Sn.I/EC.6/ 2010-11/376 dated 21.03.2011.
11. Finance Department Lr. No. 3039-C/23/WA.II/2007, dated: 29.03.2011.
12. From the Secretary Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission, Lr. No. 507/RT-1/1/2011, dated 16.05.2011.

&&&&

Sri D Sanjeeva Rao while working as Pay and Accounts Officer in Visakhapatnam, on receipt of complaints, the Vigilance & Enforcement Department have inspected the office and verified the records on 27.06.2006 and 20.07.2006. During the inspection, they found certain irregularities regarding procedural and administrative lapses in accepting the incomplete bills and returning the same unofficially without offering remarks. The Vigilance & Enforcement Department has recommended for major disciplinary action against the Pay and Accounts Officer, Visakhapatnam. Accordingly, Article of Charges have been framed against Sri D Sanjeeva Rao, PAO, Visakhapatnam in the reference 2nd read above. After careful examination of the written statement of defence given by the Accused Officer received through the reference 3rd read above, Government decided to conduct an enquiry against him and accordingly orders were issued in the reference 4th read above, appointing Sri M.S. Sudhakar, Joint Director of Works Accounts, Ongole as Enquiry Officer to enquire into the allegations leveled against the Accused Officer. The Enquiry Officer submitted the Enquiry Report through the Director of Works Accounts vide reference 5th cited, wherein it is stated that the charges, I, III and V are not sustainable. As regarding charge II & IV, the Enquiry Officer has observed that there is a procedural deviation, no malafide can be attributed to Accused Officer as he is tried to protect the interest of Government. A copy of the Enquiry Report has been communicated to Sri D Sanjeeva Rao, PAO (Retd) in terms of Rule 21 of A.P.C.S. (CC&A) Rules, 1991 for his representation. The Accused Officer in his representation submitted through the Director of Works Accounts vide reference 6th read above, requested to consider the findings of the enquiry officer in the case and drop further action in the matter. After detailed examination of the explanation of the Charged Officer and the Enquiry Report, Government have taken a decision to disagree with the findings of Enquiry Report and accordingly in the reference 7th cited, communicated a copy of Enquiry Report along with the disagreement factors to the Charged Officer for submission of a representation by him so as to take further action. The Accused Officer in his representation submitted through the Director of Works

Accounts vide reference 8th cited, requested to consider the findings of the enquiry officer in the case and drop further action in the matter.

After examination of the explanation of the Accused Officer, Government in the references 9th read above, intimated the provisional decision on imposition of a punishment of recovery of an amount Rs. 1,000/- (Rupees One Thousand Only) from the Death-cum-Retirement Gratuity of the Accused Officer. The charged officer furnished his reply through the Director of Works Accounts in the reference 10th read above wherein, he requested to drop further action against him.

The A.P. Public Service Commission, Hyderabad in their letter 12th read above have concurred with the proposal of the Government for imposition of a punishment of recovery of an amount Rs. 1,000/- (Rupees One Thousand Only) from the Death-cum-Retirement Gratuity of Sri D. Sanjeева Rao, Pay and Accounts Officer (Retired).

Government after careful examination of the matter, in exercise of the powers conferred, hereby taken a decision to impose a punishment of recovery of an amount Rs. 1,000/- (Rupees One Thousand Only) from the Death-cum-Retirement Gratuity of Sri D. Sanjeeva Rao, Pay and Accounts Officer (Retired) for his lapses while he was working as Pay and Accounts Officer, under Rule 9 of A.P.Revised Pension Rules, 1980.

The Director of Works and Accounts, A.P., Hyderabad shall take necessary action accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

S.E. SEKHAR BABU,
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (W&P)

To

Sri D. Sanjeeva Rao, Pay and Accounts Officer (Retd)
through the Director of Works Accounts, Hyderabad

Copy to:

The Director of Works Accounts, Hyderabad.
(with a request to serve the copy of to the individual
and send the served copy to the Government)

The Accountant General, A.P., Hyderabad.

The Secretary, Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission, Hyderabad.

The Secretary to A.P. Vigilance Commission, Hyderabad.

The General Administration (V&E) Department, BRKR Buildings, Hyderabad.

SF/SCs.

//FORWARDED:: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER